Aaron Michael Butts

Embellished with Gold: The Ethiopic Reception of Syriac Biblical Exegesis

The Solomonic Period of Ethiopian History (1270–1770 C. E.) saw a large number of translations from Arabic into Ethiopic (Gə'əz). In many cases, this Arabic literature itself had been translated from other languages. One particularly productive trajectory of transmission was from Syriac to Arabic to Ethiopic.² The present paper addresses one specific aspect of this transmission: the movement of Syriac biblical exegesis into Ethiopic via Arabic. It takes as its point of departure the Joseph narrative (Gen. 37, 39-50) and especially the exegetical locus classicus of the garment that Jacob made for Joseph. The paper begins with an overview of Syriac biblical exegesis. It then turns to the Ethiopic translations of Ibn al-Tayyib's The Paradise of Christianity, which draws upon several different Syriac exegetical sources, including the Scholion by Theodoros bar Koni and the commentary of Isho'dad of Merv. Attention is then paid to Ethiopian biblical exegesis that is based on the Ethiopic translations of Ibn al-Tayyib, especially the Ethiopic commentary by Məhərka Dəngəl and the Andəmta commentary tradition. The paper concludes with a discussion of a text that has not previously featured in the secondary literature on the Ethiopic reception of Syriac biblical exegesis: the History of Joseph.

1 Throughout this paper, 'Ethiopic' refers to the Gə'əz language whereas 'Ethiopian' references the broader cultural heritage.

A preliminary survey of texts that moved from Syriac into Ethiopic via Arabic can be found in A. M. Butts, "Ethiopic Christianity, Syriac contacts with," *GEDSH*, 148–153.

^{*} Earlier versions of this paper were presented at the First International Symposium on Syriac – Geez, May 27–30, 2013, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, at the workshop 'A Fruitful Bough': Joseph traditions in and outside the Bible, Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Jerusalem, Israel, Jan. 13, 2014, and at the Canadian Society of Syriac Studies (CSSS), Toronto, Canada, April 2, 2014. I am grateful to these audiences for their insightful comments. I would also like to thank a number of people who contributed to this paper in various ways, by sharing their own work, commenting on drafts, making manuscripts available, etc.: Dexter Brown, Leah Comeau, Stephen Davis, Simcha Gross, Dimitri Gutas, Kristian Heal, Ljubica Jovanović, George Kiraz, Ralph Lee, Frédéric Manns, Adam McCollum, Geoffrey Moseley, Yonatan Moss, James Nati, Columba Stewart, Hany Takla, Lucas Van Rompay, and Joseph Witztum. This paper has grown out of a larger project dedicated to editing and translating Ethiopic exegetical works on Genesis. Note the following abbreviations: *EAE* = *Encyclopaedia Aethiopica*, ed. S. Uhlig (Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz, 2003–2014); *GEDSH* = *Gorgias Encyclopedic Dictionary of the Syriac Heritage*, ed. S. P. Brock, A. M. Butts, G. A. Kiraz, and L. Van Rompay (Piscataway: Gorgias Press, 2011).

Syriac Biblical Exegesis on the Old Testament: The Foundation

Biblical exegesis on the Old Testament has a long history within the Syriac tradition.³ Already in the fourth century, Ephrem the Syrian (d. 373) wrote a Commentary (puššāqā) on Genesis as well as an Explanation (turgāmā) of Exodus.⁴ In addition, numerous exegetical passages are found in Ephrem's poetic works, especially madrāšē, or metrical hymns.⁵ Following the translations of Theodore of Mopsuestia (d. 428) into Syriac, the fifth and sixth centuries witnessed two prolific Syriac exegetes who wrote primarily in the genre of mēmrā, or metrical homily. The East-Syriac poet Narsai (d. ca. 500) composed a large number of mēmrē, more than eighty of which survive, on a wide range of topics, including many on Old Testament passages.⁶ Narsai's West-Syriac contemporary Jacob of Serug (d. 521) also composed a large number of mēmrē (some 380 survive), many of which deal with the Old Testament.⁷ In addition, there are a large number of

- For a general overview, see R. B. ter Haar Romeny, "Exegesis, Old Testament," *GEDSH*, 156–160. More details can be found in L. Van Rompay, "The Christian Syriac Tradition of Interpretation," in *Hebrew Bible / Old Testament. The History of Its Interpretation*, Vol. I. *From the Beginnings to the Middle Ages (Until 1300)*, Part 1. *Antiquity*, ed. M. Sæbø (Göttingen: Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht, 1996), 612–641; idem, "Development of Biblical Interpretation in the Syriac Churches of the Middle Ages," in *Hebrew Bible / Old Testament. The History of Its Interpretation*, Vol. 2. *From the Renaissance to the Enlightenment*, ed. M. Sæbø (Göttingen: Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht, 2000), 559–577.
- The Syriac is edited in R.-M. Tonneau, Sancti Ephraem Syri. In Genesim et in Exodum Commentarii (CSCO 152–153; Louvain: Peeters, 1955). An English translation is available in E. G. Mathews and J. P. Amar, St. Ephrem the Syrian. Selected Prose Works (Washington, D. C.: Catholic University of America Press, 1994) and a Dutch translation in A. G. P. Janson and L. Van Rompay, Efrem de Syrier: Uitleg van het Boek Genesis (Christelijke Bronnen 5; Kampen: Kok, 1993). It should be noted that the Commentaries on the Pentateuch that are preserved in Armenian and attributed to Ephrem are not in fact by him; these are edited with an English translation in Edward G. Mathews, Jr., The Armenian commentary on Genesis attributed to Ephrem the Syrian (CSCO 572–573; Louvain: Peeters, 1998); idem, The Armenian commentaries on Exodus-Deuteronomy attributed to Ephrem the Syrian (CSCO 587–588; Louvain: Peeters, 2001).
- 5 For publication details of Ephrem's poetic works, see S. P. Brock, "A brief guide to the main editions and translations of the works of Saint Ephrem," in *Saint Ephrem. Un poète pour notre temps* (Antélias: CERO, 2007), 281–338 (reprinted as S. P. Brock, "In Search of St Ephrem," *Христианский Восток* NS 6 [2013], 13–77). For the differences in Ephrem's exegetical approach to the Old Testament in his poetic works versus his prose commentaries, see Van Rompay, "Syriac Tradition of Interpretation," 626–627.
- 6 For Narsai's *mēmrē*, see the overview in S. P. Brock, "A guide to Narsai's homilies," *Hugoye* 12.1 (2009), 21–40. The Syriac texts of many of his *mēmrē* are available in A. Mingana, *Narsai doctoris Syri homiliae et carmina* (Leiden: Brill, 1905) as well as in a facsimile edition published by the Patriarchal Press (San Francisco, 1970). In addition, critical editions of *mēmrē* on the Old Testament can be found in J. Frishman, "The ways and means of the divine economy. An edition, translation and study of six biblical homilies by Narsai" (Ph. D. Diss., Leiden University, 1992) and Ph. Gignoux, *Homélies de Narsaï sur la création* (PO 34.3–4; Turnhout: Brepols, 1968).
- 7 The Syriac texts of many of Jacob's *mēmrē* are edited in P. Bedjan, *Homiliae Selectae Mar-Jacobi Sarugensis* (5 vols.; Paris-Leipzig: Harrassowitz, 1905–10) (reprinted with an additional volume in 2006 by Gorgias Press). A bilingual series (Syriac with English translation) of individual homilies, entitled *The Metrical Homilies of Mar Jacob of Sarug* (Piscataway: Gorgias Press, 2008–), is in the process of publication. Critical editions of *mēmrē* on the Old Testament can be found in

anonymous Syriac exegetical works from this period, including dramatic retellings of the Bible both in verse and prose. 8

Moving to the medieval period, the East-Syriac exegetical tradition reached a climax in the eighth and ninth centuries. The exegetical literature from this period can be divided into two genres: running commentary and question-and-answer. In 792/3, Theodoros bar Koni wrote his *Scholion*, which is a series of questions and answers covering the entire sphere of the East-Syriac intellectual heritage. The first five *mēmrē* (out of eleven) treat the Old Testament. Around the same time, Isho' bar Nun (d. 828) wrote his *Selected Questions*, which comments on the entire biblical text, again in the genre of question-and-answer. Slightly later, Isho'dad of Merv (fl. ca. 850) completed a large commentary on the Bible (both Old and New Testament). This represents the most expansive form of East-Syriac biblical exegesis. An important source for Isho'dad's commentary is the

Khalil Alwan, Jacques de Saroug, Quatre homélies métriques sur la Création (CSCO 508–509; Louvain: Peeters, 1989); B. Sony, L'Homélie de Jacques de Saroug sur l'Hexameron (2 vols.; Rome: self-published, 2000); W. Strothmann, Jakob von Sarug, der Prophet Hosea (GOFS 5; Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz, 1973). For the manuscript attestation of Jacob's mēmrē, see A. Vööbus, Handschriftliche Überlieferung der Memre-Dichtung des Ja'qob von Serug (4 vols.; CSCO 344–345, 421–422; Louvain: Peeters, 1973–1980).

8 For references, see Van Rompay, "Syriac Tradition of Interpretation," 640 n. 56. For an insightful study of several of the anonymous Syriac sources on the Joseph narrative, see K. Heal, "Tradition and Transformation: Genesis 37 and 39 in Early Syriac Sources" (Ph. D. Diss., University of Birmingham, 2008).

9 For the latter genre, see B. ter Haar Romeny, "Question-and-Answer Collections in Syriac literature," in *Erotapokriseis. Early Christian Question-and-Answer Literature in Context*, ed. A. Volgers and C. Zamagni (Louvain: Peeters, 2004), 145–163.

The Scholion exists in two recensions. The Siirt recension was edited in A. Scher, Theodorus bar Kōnī. Liber Scholiorum (CSCO 55, 69; Louvain: Peeters, 1910–1912) with a French translation in R. Hespel and R. Draguet(†), Thédore bar Koni. Livre des scholies (recension de Séert) (CSCO 431–432; Louvain: Peeters, 1981). The additions in the Urmia recension were edited with a French translation in R. Hespel, Théodore bar Koni. Livre des scolies (recension d'Urmiah) (CSCO 447–448; Louvain: Peeters, 1983). In addition, the section on the 'Pauline' epistles from the Urmia recension was edited independently with a German translation in L. Brade, Untersuchungen zum Scholienbuch des Theodoros bar Konai (GOFS 8; Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz, 1975). For the date of the Scholion, see S. Griffith, "Chapter ten of the Scholion: Theodore bar Kônî's Apology for Christianity," OCP47 (1981), 158–188 at 161–164.

A facsimile edition of the portion dealing with the Pentateuch, along with an English translation and study, can be found in E. G. Clarke, *The Selected Questions of Ishô' bar Nūn on the Pentateuch* (Studia Post-Biblica 5; Leiden: Brill, 1962). See also C. Molenberg, "The Interpreter interpreted. Išo' bar Nun's Selected Questions on the Old Testament" (Ph. D. Diss., University of Groningen, 1990).

12 The Old Testament portion of this commentary is edited with a French translation in J.-M. Vosté and C. Van den Eynde, *Išo'dad de Merv. Commentaire de l'Ancien Testament*, I (CSCO 126; Louvain: Peeters, 1950); C. Van den Eynde, *Išo'dad de Merv. Commentaire de l'Ancien Testament*, I, II–VI (CSCO 156, 176, 179, 229–230, 303–304, 328–29, 433–34; Louvain: Peeters, 1950–1981).

anonymous commentary on Genesis-Exodus 9:32 preserved in ms. (olim) Diyarbakır 22. 13

Ibn al-Ṭayyib's *The Paradise of Christianity*: A Bridge between Syriac and Ethiopic

East-Syriac biblical exegesis, which culminated in the eighth and ninth centuries, was transmitted into Arabic by Ibn al-Ṭayyib (d. 1043), whose full name was Abū al-Faraj 'Abd Allāh Ibn al-Ṭayyib al-'Irāqī. Among his many works, Ibn al-Ṭayyib wrote *The Paradise of Christianity (Firdaws al-naṣrāniyya)*. This is a commentary on the entire Bible in two parts. One part, which is preserved in ms. Vatican Arab. 37, presents a running commentary on most of the Bible. Only the Genesis portion of this part of the commentary has been edited. The primary source for this part of the commentary is Isho'dad of Merv's commentary in Syriac. The second part of Ibn al-Ṭayyib's commentary, which is preserved in ms. Vatican Arab. 36, is a series of questions and answers on the entire Bible. This part remains entirely unedited. One of its sources is the Syriac question-and-answer collection by Theodoros bar Koni. Ibn al-Ṭayyib's *The Paradise of Christianity* provided the primary bridge by which East-Syriac biblical exegesis was transmitted into Ethiopic.

Both parts of Ibn al-Ṭayyib's *The Paradise of Christianity* were translated into Ethiopic. The first 84 folios of ms. Bibl. Nat. Éth. d'Abbadie 28 (ff. 2r–86v) contain a commentary on the 'octateuch' *('orit)* attributed to John Chrysostom (d. 407). The *incipit* reads: 18

- 13 This is edited with a French translation in L. Van Rompay, *Le commentaire sur Genèse-Exode* 9,32 du manuscrit (olim) Diyarbakir 22 (CSCO 483–484; Louvain: Peeters, 1986).
- 14 For this author, see A. M. Butts, "Ibn al-Ṭayyib," *GEDSH*, 206–207; Julian Faultless, "Ibn al-Ṭayyib," in *Christian-Muslim Relations. A Bibliographic History. Volume 2 (900–1050)*, ed. David Thomas and Alex Mallett, with Juan Pedro Monferrer Sala, Johannes Pahlitzsch, Mark Swanson, Herman Teule, and John Tolan (History of Christian-Muslim Relations 14; Leiden Boston: Brill, 2010), 667–697; G. Graf, *Geschichte der christlichen arabischen Literatur* (Studi e testi 118, 133, 146, 147, 172; Vatican: Biblioteca apostolica vaticana, 1944–1952), 1:1.152–155; 2:160–77.
- 15 It is edited with a French translation in J. C. J. Sanders, *Commentaire sur la Genèse* (CSCO 274–275; Louvain: Peeters, 1967). See also the study in P. Féghali, "Ibn aṭ-Ṭayyib et son commentaire sur la Genèse," *ParOr* 16 (1990–1991), 149–62.
- 16 Faultless's characterization of this commentary as 'containing the remaining materials' and 'the New Testament and all miscellaneous material' ("Ibn al-Tayyib," 681–683) is not accurate. A more careful description can, however, already be found in Graf, Geschichte, 163.
- 17 For the manuscript, see M. Chaîne, *Catalogue des manuscrits éthiopiens de la collection Antoine d'Abbadie* (Paris: Imprimerie national, 1912), 18. Part of the beginning of this commentary is also preserved in ms. EMML 7410, ff. 128v–129r.
- 18 The text can also be found in Roger W. Cowley, *Ethiopian Biblical Interpretation. A Study in Exegetical Tradition and Hermeneutics* (University of Cambridge Oriental Publications 38; Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1988), 433. Cowley claims that the *incipit* of the manuscript has been erased and altered (Roger W. Cowley, "A Ge'ez Document Reporting Controversy

ይትባሪክ፡እግዚአብሔር፡አምሳከ፡እስራኤል[፡]በስመ፡እግዚአብሔር፡አብ፡ወማኅየ ዊ፡ንወዋን፡ጽሔፌ፡ትርጓሜ፡ኦሪት፡ዘጸሐፋ፡ዮሐንስ፡አፌ፡ወርቅ#

"May God, the Lord of Israel, be blessed! In the name of God, the Father and Live-Giver, we begin to write the interpretation (tərg^wame) on the octateuch, which John Chrysostom wrote." (ms. Bibl. Nat. Éth. d'Abbadie 28, f. 2r, ln. 1–2)

As the introductory formulae of the *incipit* make clear, ms. Bibl. Nat. Éth. d'Abbadie 28 comes from the Betä '∃sra'el (or Fälaša).²¹ It should, however, be noted that the borders between the Ethiopian Orthodox Church and the Betä '∃sra'el were at times porous, with texts crossing between the two communities.²² Thus, the commentary preserved in this manuscript could have had its origins in Ethiopic Christianity, even if it now only exists in a manuscript from the Betä '∃sra'el.²³ In fact, it is certain that this commentary was also transmitted within the Ethiopian Orthodox Church, since it is an important source for the Ethiopic Commentary by Məhərka Dəngəl, which is discussed in the next section of this paper.

Concerning the Bible Commentaries of Ibn at-Taiyib," *Rassegna di Studi Etiopici* 30 [1984–1986], 5–13 at n. 10). This does not, however, seem to be the case based on an inspection of the manuscript itself. Some of the ink from the verso has certainly bled through to the recto, but there is no evidence of erasure or alteration. Several notes have, however, been erased on f. 1v, but they do not belong to the *incipit*.

- 19 So already Mersha Alehegne, The Ethiopian Commentary on the Book of Genesis: Critical Edition and Translation (Äthiopistische Forschungen 73; Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz, 2011), 3; Roger W. Cowley, The Traditional Interpretation of the Apocalypse of St John in the Ethiopian Church (University of Cambridge Oriental Publications 33; Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1983), 36; idem, "Ge'ez Document," 5 with n. 3; idem, Ethiopian Biblical Interpretation, 114.
- 20 See, e. g., ms. London, Brit, Libr. Orient 732, f. 206r (see W. Wright, Catalogue of the Ethiopic Manuscripts in the British Museum Acquired Since the Year 1847 [London: British Museum, 1877], 201).
- 21 For the Betä '∃sra'el, see Steven Kaplan, *The Beta Israel (Falasha) in Ethiopia. From Earliest Times to the Twentieth Century* (New York London: New York University Press, 1992).
- 22 To take just one example, Jacob of Serug's 'Homily on the death of Aaron' formed the basis for the *Motä 'Aron* 'Death of Aaron', an Ethiopic text that is found in the literary tradition of the Ethiopian Orthodox Church as well as in that of the Betä '∃sra'el (for a French translation of this text, see M. Wurmbrand, "Le 'Dersâna sanbat'. Une homélie éthiopienne attribuée à Jacques de Saroug," *OS* 8 [1963], 343–394). See also n. 83 and 87 below.
- 23 There is nothing to suggest that the manuscript itself originally belonged to the Ethiopian Orthodox Church and only secondarily came to the Betä '∃sra'el, as Cowley seems to imply ("Ge'ez Document," 6 n. 10). See n. 18 above.

To illustrate the relationship between Syriac biblical exegesis, Ibn al-Ṭayyib's *The Paradise of Christianity*, and the Ethiopic commentary found in ms. Bibl. Nat. Éth. d'Abbadie 28, it is useful to look at a sample passage. The section from ms. Bibl. Nat. Éth. d'Abbadie 28 dealing with the Joseph narrative reads as follows:

ወዘይመርህ፡ሳዕለ፡ተትሕቶተ፡፡ዮሴፍ²⁴፡ውሕተ፡፡ተጎፅን፡ምስለ፡አ<u>ሕ</u>ማተ፡አቡሁ፡፡ዘለፋ፡ ወቦሳ#ወውዴትኒ፡ሕኪት፡ዘከን፡*ያመጽ*ሕ፡ዮሴፍ፡፡ጎበ፡አቡሁ፡፡በሕንተ፡አጎንዊሁ፡ሕስመ፡ ሕሙንተ፡፡ኮት፡የሐምይዎ፡ለአቡሆሙ፡፡ወኢ,ያፌቅርዎ፡አው፡፡ሕስመ፡ሕሙንተ፡፡ኮት፡ይ ትጌበሩ፡ውስተ፡ተኖልዎ፡ዘየዓሉ²⁵#ወኮን፡ያዕቆብ፡ያፌቅሮ፡ለዮሴፍ፡ሕንበይን፡አስተ ሐምሞተ፡፡ወትሩፋቲሁ፡፡ወአሕምሮቱ፡ሕስመ፡ውሕተ፡፡ኮን፡ይተሉ፡ግዕዞ²⁶#ወውሕተ፡²⁷ ዘዐሥቀ፡ያዕቆብ፡በዮሴፍ፡ቦተ፡፡ይፌክር፡ጎበ፡ቀሚሱ²⁸፡ዘቦቱ፡አክማመ፡ዘሕንም፡ምስ ሌሁ#ወዘንተ፡ኢኮን፡ይለብሶ፡ዘሕንበለ፡ለክብር#ወሕመ፡አኮ፡ሕለ፡ተርፉ፡ኢኮት፡ይለ ብሴ፡ዘሕንበለ፡ግማዳት፡ዘይክይድዎ፡ወቦ፡<u>ሕ፡ዓ</u>ፋፍ፡ወባቲ፡ርፍኤታት²⁹፡ቀይሕ፡ወካል ዐኒህ#

"That which shows the humility (of) Joseph is (that) he was reared with the maid-servants of his father, Zilpah and Bilhah. The evil accusation that Joseph was bringing to his father concerning his brothers was that they were slandering their father and not loving him, or that they were doing that which is wicked³⁰ in shepherding. Jacob loved Joseph on account of his zeal, his virtues, and his knowledge, because he was following his (or: His) way of life.³¹ That which Jacob wove for Joseph, one interprets as his tunic that had woven sleeves with it. He was not wearing this except for honor. Others would only wear pieces that they would trample.³² Oth(ers say that it was a) cloak, and it had stripes (*lit.* sewings) of red and other (colors)." (ms. Bibl. Nat. Éth. d'Abbadie 28, f. 34r, col. 1, ln. 2–19)

This is a literal translation of Ibn al-Ṭayyib's *The Paradise of Christianity* as found in ms. Vatican Arab. 37 and edited by Sanders:³³

والدليل على تواضع يوسف انه رُبي مع اما ابيه³⁴ ذلفا وبلها وخبر السوا الذي كان يرقيه³⁵ يوسف الى ابيه عن اخوته الهم كانوا يثلبون اباهم لم يحبه او لالهم كانوا يستعملون في

- 24 Perhaps read ለዮሴፍ።
- 25 Ms. ዘኢ. የዓሉ።
- 26 Ms. አት፡. This is emended to ማዕዞ፡ based on the reading ማዕዘ፡ዘ.አሁ፡. which is found in the Ethiopic Commentary by Məhərka Dəngəl (ms. EMML 2101, f. 93v, col. 3, ln. 29). This commentary is discussed in more detail below.
- 27 There is a space of ca. 4 letters before this word.
- 28 Ms. φ^σ?Λ:. Alternatively, emend to: φ^σ?Λ:.
- 29 Perhaps read Cをわかす; i. e., in construct.
- 30 The ms. reads: 'that which is not wicked'.
- 31 Translating the emendation **76H**: (see n. 26).
- 32 The text seems to be corrupt here. Note that the Commentary by Məhərka Dəngəl reads ### 1201, f. 94r, col. 1, ln. 4).
- 33 Cited according to Sanders, *Commentaire sur la Genèse*, 89.12–90.2. The edition of Sanders has, however, been collated with ms. Vatican Arab. 37, because it contains numerous misreadings.
- 34 Corrected in the ms. from اما بيه (haplography).
- 35 Sanders reads يرقبه.

الرعى 36 ما لا يحل وكان يعقوب يحب يوسف لتألهه وفضله وعلمه لانه 37 كان يخدمه وهو الذي اختص يقعوب ليوسف به يعني به القميص ذو الاكمام المنسوجة معه وهذا ما كان يلبسه الا الاحلا 38 والا فالباقون ما كانوا يلبسون الا قطيعين 39 يلفقوهما 40 وقوم قالوا الها الحلة وفيها تخطيطات حمر وصفر وغيرها

"A proof of the humility of Joseph is that he was reared with the maid-servants of his father, Zilpah and Bilhah. The message of blame that Joseph told to his father concerning his brothers was that they were slandering their father (saying that) he did not love him, or because they were doing that which is not permissible in shepherding. Jacob loved Joseph on account of his godliness, his virtue, and his knowledge, because he was his (or: His) servant. That thing by which Jacob gave distinction to Joseph was a tunic that had woven sleeves with it. Only nobles would wear this. Indeed, others would only wear two pieces that they patch together. Others say that it was a cloak, and it had stripes of red, yellow, and other (colors)."

As can be seen from this example, the Ethiopic translation of Ibn al-Ṭayyib closely follows its Arabic source. 41 It is, in fact, a very literal, source-oriented translation in which almost every feature of the Arabic text is reproduced in Ethiopic. At times, this results in a rough, if not unintelligible, Ethiopic text. 42

The Arabic commentary of Ibn al-Ṭayyib is, in turn, based on Ishoʻdad of Merv's commentary in Syriac, which reads as follows:⁴³

הכביא הן הכביבחלות המספ כן מי, הבת כו אכמולא וכא מחד לחכב

בל הכמון מחם לו חדיביא חדים, נחספ לב נחניא שלי נחידיאם
אכי דישה לב נחספ בחשלא כידלא לחל אכחמם. מהא איף האם
במבסבלא איף פחדם כישלא הן הכפיםוא אלי, לע כושא כידא
מספ בל במחב אכחמם. מנה ובעלא מנה בל אכחמם. איף
מס הדבידאם אלים מוה בעל מספ. חבל מהא כשב לו במה בא
האכי מחוי אלי, לכמם חדיביא מום כדים הבדים כבי בולא
בדים לא בדים אולי, לכמם חדיביא מני הבידו מחד כל מברחלא מוב מוב איף
הכי מובחלא מני מוב מהא למ חסביא הכמביץ למובחלות איף
הבי מובחלא מני מוב מהא לו הכביא הכמביץ למובחלות איף
הפאר לביא ביידא לחב בלי ומק מחד לו ברביא מרי און ווברים ווול

- 36 Sanders reads المرعى.
- 37 Sanders reads טע.
- 38 Sanders reads الاجل.
- 39 A pseudo-Syriac passive participle, i. e., 'two pieces (*lit.* things that have been cut)'. Sanders reads نطعتين, which would be better Classical Arabic.
- بيلففو هما Sanders reads يلففو هما .
- 41 This is true throughout the commentary, as noted already by Cowley (*Ethiopian Biblical Interpretation*, 114).
- 43 Cited according to Vosté and Van den Eynde, *Išo dad de Merv*, 199.15–200.9.

"It seems that the humility of Joseph (is) from the fact that he was reared with children of maidservants. Again, concerning the fact that they hated him, etc., (is that) 'and Joseph brought news...'. The Greek says more clearly, 'Joseph brought down an evil insult to their father', according to what is in the Hexapla. According to the Commentary on Genesis by the interpreter (i. e., Theodore of Mopsuestia), 'Joseph brought (their) evil mocking against Jacob their father', i. e., they possessed a foul opinion against their father, since he was with Joseph in a foul way, and because of this, he loved him. For, if, as the Syriac says, 'he brought their news, etc.', that is, what they were doing in the pasture,44 then his brothers would not be culpable for hating him, because he would have been an inciter. 'Because (he was a) son of old age (to him)' is (that) he was near to him, comforting, and supporting his old age, as is fitting for true sons. Again, he loved him more, because he was the firstborn of Rachel. Again, because he was wise, prudent, and godly in his way of life, as those facts afterwards demonstrated. The (words) ['of the tunic] of sleeves' is a long garment with which its sleeves are sown, which they call zqirtā ('sewn'), because in that land they sew and stitch pieces together. Only the nobles would wear this garment of sleeves. A demonstration (of this) is the tunic of our Lord. 45 The Hebrew (reads): (a tunic) with images. The Greek (reads): a mix-(colored) tunic, that is, one that has a piece of red and a piece of black, green, and blue."

Almost every one of the exegetical traditions concerning Joseph in Ibn al-Ṭay-yib's *The Paradise of Christianity* is found in this passage from Isho'dad of Merv:

- the upbringing of Joseph with maid-servants as a proof of his humility
- Joseph bringing a report of his brothers' slandering their father
- the alternative tradition of Joseph bringing a report of his brothers' activities in shepherding
 - Jacob loving Joseph on account of his godliness, his virtue, and his knowledge
 - Joseph serving his father
 - the description of Joseph's tunic as with sleeves
 - the association of Joseph's tunic with nobility
- the alternative tradition (from the *Hexapla*) that Joseph's tunic had multiple colors

Ibn al-Ṭayyib incorporates each of these traditions from Ishoʻdad of Merv into his commentary. 46 In most of the cases, Ibn al-Tayyib even retains the order of

⁴⁴ Or: 'in shepherding', as understood by Ibn al-Tayyib.

⁴⁵ See John 19:23.

⁴⁶ It should be noted that many of these exegetical traditions are found already in the anonymous commentary on Genesis-Exodus 9:32 preserved in ms. (olim) Diyarbakır 22 (Van Rompay, *Le commentaire sur Genèse-Exode 9,32*, 1:108.12–109.8 [Syriac], 2:138.19–140.2 [French translation]). Several are not, however, found in the Diyarbakır commentary: 1. Joseph bringing a report of his brothers' activities in shepherding; 2. Jacob loving Joseph on account of his godliness, his virtue, and his knowledge; 3. the association of Joseph's tunic with nobility. This shows that Ibn al-

presentation found in Isho'dad of Merv. Part of Ibn al-Ṭayyib's authorial process includes the deletion of exegetical traditions, such as the reading of the Hebrew text regarding Joseph's garment. In addition, Ibn al-Ṭayyib does not always retain the association of exegetical traditions with particular sources, such as the references to the interpreter Theodore of Mopsuestia and the Hexaplaric reading regarding Joseph's garment. In the passage under consideration, then, Ibn al-Ṭayyib's commentary is little more than an Arabic abridgment of the material in Isho'dad of Merv. It should be noted that this is the case throughout the running commentary part of Ibn al-Ṭayyib's *The Paradise of Christianity*. Thus, the Ethiopic passage from ms. Bibl. Nat. Éth. d'Abbadie 28 covering the Joseph narrative illustrates the transmission of Syriac biblical exegesis – in this case, that of Isho'dad of Merv – into Ethiopic via Arabic – in this case, via Ibn al-Tayyib.

The question-and-answer part of the commentary of Ibn al-Ṭayyib, as is preserved in ms. Vatican Arab. 36, is also found in Ethiopic translation. Ms. EMML 1839 (ff. 1r–48v) contains a commentary attributed to John Chrysostom, which, however, is actually a translation of Ibn al-Ṭayyib. ⁴⁷ The *incipit* reads: ⁴⁸

ክፍል፡ቀዳማይ፡ዘዮሐንስ፡አፈ፡ወርቅ፡ዘአስተ ጋብአ፡ቀሲስ፡ክቡር፡አባ፡ፍሥሐ፡ገብረ
፡እግዚአብሔር፡ወልድ፡ሥናይ፡ይምሐሮ፡እግዚአብሔር፡አሜን#ወዝንቱ፡መጽሐፍ፡
ይዜክር፡ብዙኃ፡ተስእሎታተ፡ወቃላተ፡ወፍካር ያተ፡ዕሙ ቃተ#እመጽሐፈ፡አሪት፡ወ
ነቢያት፡ወነገሥት#ወእምኢዮብ፡ጻድቅ፡ወእመጽሐፌ፡ስሎሞን፡ጠቢብ#ወፍካሬ፡
መዝሙረ፡ዳዊት፡ወወንጌል፡ወእመልእክተ፡ጳውሎስ፡ወእምሐዋር ያ፡ወእመጽሐፌ፡
ግብሮሙ፡ለሐዋር ያት#

"The first part (of the interpretation) of John Chrysostom, which the honored priest Äbba Fəśśəḥā Gäbrä '∃gzi'äbḥer Wäld Śännay (may God have mercy on him, amen) compiled. This book records many questions and answers (*lit.* words) and profound interpretations, from the book of the octateuch, the prophets, the kings, from Job the righteous, from the book of the wise Solomon, and the interpretation of the psalms of David and the gospel(s), from the letters of Paul, from the apostles, and from the book of the act(s) of the apostles." (ms. EMML 1839, f. 1r, col. 1, lns. 1–16)

Like ms. Bibl. Nat. Éth. d'Abbadie 28, this commentary is attributed to John Chrysostom (ዮሐንስ:አሪ:ወርቅ:) without an adjective 'eastern' (ምሥራ-ታዊ:). The commentary is, however, said to have been compiled by one Äbba Fəśśəḥä

Tayyib could not have drawn solely from the Diyarbakır commentary, but that he must have had access to Ishoʻdad of Merv's commentary or one like it.

48 The text can also be found in Haile, *Project Numbers 1501–2000*, 342; Cowley, *Ethiopian Biblical Interpretation*, 119.

⁴⁷ So already Cowley, Traditional Interpretation, 36; idem, "Ge'ez Document," 5 with n. 3; idem, Ethiopian Biblical Interpretation, 119–122. For the manuscript, see Getatchew Haile (with William F. Macomber), A Catalogue of Ethiopian Manuscripts Microfilmed for the Ethiopian Manuscript Microfilm Library, Addis Ababa and for the Hill Monastic Manuscript Library, Collegeville, Vol. 5. Project Numbers 1501–2000 (Collegeville: Monastic Manuscript Microfilm Library, 1981), 342–343. This commentary also remains unedited.

146 Butts

Gäbrä '∃gzi'äbḥer Wäld Śännay, or 'father of joy, servant of God, good son', which is an Ethiopic translation of the Arabic name of Abū al-Faraj 'Abd Allāh Ibn al-Ṭayyib. 49 Thus, unlike ms. Bibl. Nat. Éth. d'Abbadie 28, ms. EMML 1839 preserves the name – albeit in translation – of its original author. After the brief introduction quoted above, the commentary proceeds to a series of questions and answers (♠♠♠♠); and ♠♠♠, respectively) that cover the Old Testament. 50

Ms. EMML 1839 contains only one question-and-answer on the Joseph narrative, which begins as follows:

ሐተታ፡ምንት፡ምክንያቱ፡ለዘ፡በእንቲአሁ፡''ሬዋዎ፡አኃዊሁ፡ለዮሴፍ፡ አዕክዮቶሙ፡ውእቱ#...

"Question: On account of what reason did the brothers of Joseph sell him? It is their causing harm..." (ms. EMML 1839, f. 32r, col. 1, lns. 23–25)

This is a translation of a question-and-answer in the second part of Ibn al-Tayyib's *The Paradise of Christianity*, which begins as follows:

والعله في بيع يوسف شر اخوته...

"The cause of the selling of Joseph (was) the malice of his brothers..." (ms. Vatican Arab. 36, f. 72r, ln. 15–16)

The same question also appears in the *Scholion* of Theodoros bar Koni with slightly different wording:⁵¹

תוא מי, שלא התוהכנום המשב מהתים שמתא מביצחלא האינוסמי, ...

"What is the reason for the selling of Joseph? First, (it is) the envy and evilness of his brothers..."

In this question-and-answer, the Ethiopic of ms. EMML 1839 is a translation of the second part of the commentary of Ibn al-Ṭayyib, as is preserved in ms. Vatican Arab. 36. Ibn al-Ṭayyib, in turn, draws from Theodoros bar Koni's *Scholion*, in fact incorporating multiple questions from Syriac into one in Arabic. Since this question-and-answer does not deal with the garment that Jacob made for Joseph, further attention will not be devoted to it here. Suffice it to say, however, that this question-and-answer illustrates the transmission of Syriac exegetical material – in this case, from Theodoros bar Koni's *Scholion* – into Ethiopic via Arabic – again, via Ibn al-Ṭayyib. 52

50 See the overview in Cowley, Ethiopian Biblical Interpretation, 120–121.

51 Cited according to Scher, Theodorus bar Kōnī, 138.13.

⁴⁹ In contrast to the *incipit*, the *desinit* only refers to 'John Chrysostom, archbishop of Constantine' (ዮሐንስ:አሪ:ወርት።ሊቀ፡ጳጳሳት:ዘቀ-ስዋንዋንድ።) (ms. EMML 1839, f. 48v, col. 3, ln. 9–10; see also Cowley, *Ethiopian Biblical Interpretation*, 119).

⁵² See Aaron M. Butts, "In Search of Sources for Ibn al-Tayyib's The Paradise of Christianity. Theodore Bar Koni's Scholion," Journal of Canadian Society of Syriac Studies 14 (2014), 3–29; "The Question-and-Answer Part of Ibn al-Tayyib's The Paradise of Christianity. The Ethiopic Translation (EMML 1839)," in Studies in Ethiopian Languages, Literature, and History, Presented to Getatchew Haile by his Friends and Colleagues, ed. Adam McCollum. Forthcoming.

In other places, Ibn al-Ṭayyib addresses questions that reflect exegetical material found in Ishoʻ bar Nun's *Selected Questions* as well as in the running commentary of Ishoʻdad of Merv. The first question, for instance, in Ibn al-Ṭayyib's *The Paradise of Christianity* addresses why God created darkness before light.⁵³ This question is found in the question-and-answer works of both Ishoʻ bar Nun and Theodoros bar Koni, and similar exegetical material is found in the running commentary of Ishoʻdad of Merv.⁵⁴ To ascertain more broadly how the question-and-answer portion of Ibn al-Ṭayyib's *The Paradise of Christianity* appropriates Syriac sources beyond Theodoros bar Koni, further study is necessary.⁵⁵

It is interesting to note that neither of the Ethiopic commentaries that are translations of Ibn al-Ṭayyib is directly associated with the Arabic name of their author: ms. Bibl. Nat. Éth. d'Abbadie 28 is attributed to John Chrysostom, and ms. EMML 1839 is also attributed to John Chrysostom but with mention of a 'compiler' whose name is an Ethiopic translation of Ibn al-Ṭayyib's name. The reason for this distance seems obvious: Ibn al-Ṭayyib was a member of the Church of the East, whereas the Ethiopian Orthodox Church was dogmatically aligned with the Syriac Orthodox Church. Thus, by removing the name of the dogmatically suspect Ibn al-Ṭayyib, the commentaries could be more readily incorporated into the Ethiopian Orthodox Church. This argument can be substantiated by a note transmitted in ms. EMML 7122, which is quoted here in full:

- The Arabic reads: النه على النور ... On the cause according to which God created darkness before light' (ms. Vatican Arab. 36, f. 1r, ln. 7). The Ethiopic translation reads: ሐተታ፡አምአሪት፡በአንተ፡ምንት፡ምስንያት፡ለዘ፡በአንተ፡አካ፡አቅደሙ፡አንዚአብሔር፡
 ፌጢረ፡ጽልሙት፡አምብርሃን። "Question from the octateuch: On account of what reason did God create darkness before light?" (ms. EMML 1839, f. 1r, col. 1, lns. 19–23).
- 54 For Isho' bar Nun, see f. 1v of ms. Cambridge, Add. 2017, which is available in a facsimile edition in Clarke, *Selected Questions*. For Theodoros bar Koni, see Scher, *Theodorus bar Kōnī*, 35.7–36.7. For Isho'dad of Merv, see Vosté and Van den Eynde, *Išo'dad de Merv*, 15.2–16.26. For a comparison of the Syriac exegetical sources on this question, see Clarke, *Selected Questions*, 44–54.
- 55 For preliminary remarks, see Cowley, Ethiopian Biblical Interpretation, 121–122.
- 56 For the terminology employed here, see S. P. Brock, "The 'Nestorian' Church: A Lamentable Misnomer," Bulletin of the John Rylands University Library of Manchester 78:3 (1996), 23–35.
- 57 It should be noted that there were already difficulties with the reception of Ibn al-Tayyib in Coptic Egypt. Ibn al-Tayyib's *Commentary on the Gospels*, for instance, exists in two recensions, an original and another revised for the Coptic Orthodox Church (see Faultless, "Ibn al-Tayyib," 677 and with more detail Julian Faultless, "The Two Recensions of the Prologue to John in Ibn al-Tayyib's *Commentary on the Gospels*," in *Christians at the Heart of Islamic Rule. Church Life and Scholarship in 'Abbasid Iraq*, ed. D. Thomas [History of Christian-Muslim Relations 1; Leiden: Brill, 2003], 177–198). It was the latter that was translated into Ethiopic. For Ibn al-Tayyib in Egypt, see Otto Meinardus, "The Nestorians in Egypt," *OC* 51 (1967), 112–129, at 121–122.
- 58 A study and English translation of this note along with a facsimile of the manuscript can be found in Cowley, "Ge'ez Document." The Ethiopic text of the note is reproduced here in full, since the facsimile is difficult to read; the digital image available from the Hill Museum & Manuscript Library (Collegeville, MN) is far superior in this regard.

ንዜኑ:ንስቲተ:ዜና:ዘሰማሪካ:እስመ፡ኮን:26ዝ:0ቢይ:በኢትዮጵየ⁵⁹:ማሪከለ:ካህናት: ወመነከሳት፡በእንተ፡ትርጓሜ፡መጽሐፍ#ዘሥርየ፡አቡፈረጅ፡አብን፡ጠይብ፡ኦእግዚ እየ፡ገብርክሙ፡ዓምደ፡ሐዋር ያት፡ኃሥሥ፡ኵለ።መጻሕፍቲሁ፡ለአቡፌረጅ፡ንስጥሮሳ ዊ፡ጸሐፌ፡ቤተ፡ለገና ትሊቅ፡ሕሴን፡ተንባላታዊ፡ወኮን፡ዝንተ፡አቡፌረጅ፡ኃሣሤ፡ጥበ ብ:አምአይሁድ:ወክርስቲያን:ወመብዝጎቲ:ትር3ሜሁ:የሐብር:ምስለ:አይሁድ⁶⁰: ውስተ፡ትር3ሜ፡መጽሐፌ፡ነቢያት፡ዘተርጕሞ፡በሱስ፡አይሁዳዊ፡ውእቱ፡ዘአማስን፡ል በሙ።ለአይሁድ፡ወይእዜሂ፡አእግዚእየ፡ነተለ።ዘረከብከሙ፡ቃለ፡ነኪረ፡ውስተ፡መጽሐ **ፉ**፡፡ዘይሰመይ፡ገንተ፡ወኵለ፡፡ትርጓሜ፡በእንተ፡ዘሩባቤል፡ወይሁዳ፡ወኅርቃኖስ፡አዋፍ እም፡ኢኮነ፡ትርጓሜሁ፡ሥናየ፡እስመ፡ኵሉ፡ነገሩ፡*ጕሥ*ዓተ፡ልቡ፡ወበዝየኒ፡ያነውርዎ፡ ካህና ት፡በብዙ ኅ፡ ፆታ፡ ወአልቦ፡ ረባሕ፡ ወኢምንት ኒ፡ ቀዳሚ፡ እስመ፡ ኢይቤ፡ በመጽሐ ፉ:[ማርያም:]⁶¹ወሳዲተ፡እግዚአብሔር፡አመስ፡ትፌቅዱ፡ኦእግዚእየ፡ትርጓሜ፡መጻ ሕፍት:ዘብሉይ:ወዘሐዲስ:አን:አፌኑ:ለክሙ:መጻሕፍተ:ዘተርጕሞ:ዮሐንስ:አፌ: ወርቅ፡ወይህያ፡ሕብን፡ዓዲ፡ወሕብን፡ከበር፡ወመጽሐፌ፡ሕብን፡አሀል፡ወሕብን፡ዘርአ፡ወ መጽሐል:ሐዊ፡እሉ፡ተለየሙ፡ዘተወክልቶሙ፡ቤተ፡ክርስቲያን፡ኦእግዚእየ፡ምንትኑ፡ አቡፈረጅ:ከሐዴ:መስኮት:ወጻራፌ:ሳዕለ:ትስብኢት:ኀድግዎ:ለንስሙር፡ይሑር፡ብ d.C:

"We will tell a little of the story that we heard: there was a great controversy in Ethiopia⁶² among the clergy and monks concerning the interpretation of the book, which 'Äbufäräj 'əbnä Ṭäyyəb established. O my master, your servant, 'Amdä Ḥäwaryat sought all of the books of the Nestorian 'Äbufäräj, the scribe of the house of the Catholicos Ḥəsen the muslim.⁶³ This 'Äbufäräj was a seeker of wisdom from Jews and Christians, and most of his interpretation agrees with the Jews⁶⁴ in the interpretation of the book of the prophets, which Bäsus the Jew interpreted, the one who corrupted the heart of the Jews. Now, o my master, everything that you found, the foreign word(s) in his book, which is called 'Paradise', ⁶⁵ and all of the interpretation(s) about Zerubbabel, Judah, and Hyrcanus, destroy it! His interpretation was not good, because all of his word(s) are the spewing of his heart. Here, the clergy blame him (or: it) in many ways, and there is absolutely no benefit in him (or: it), primarily because he does not say in his books '(Mary), ⁶⁶ mother of God (*i. e.*, theotokos)'. If you want, o my master, interpretation of the books of the Old and New (Testament), I myself will send to you books, which John Chrysostom, Yəhya '∃bnā 'Adi, ⁶⁷ and '∃bnā Käbār⁶⁸ interpreted, as well as

59 The ms. has been changed here.

60 The manuscript is changed to read: ትርጓሚ:አይሁድ:

61 There is a blank space of approximately four characters here in the ms. with traces suggesting that something has been erased. Cowley already proposed 47C, 69°: ("Ge'ez Document," 9 n. 29).

62 The ms. has been changed here.

63 The text seems to be mistaken here as Ibn al-Ţayyib was secretary to Catholicos Yūḥannā b. Nāzūk (r. 1012–1022) and then Catholicos Eliya I (r. 1028–1049).

64 The manuscript is changed to read: 'the interpretation of the Jews'.

65 This is Ibn al-Tayyib's *The Paradise of Christianity* (*Firdaws al-nasrāniyya*).

66 This word has been erased in the ms.

- 67 This is Yaḥyā b. 'Adī (d. 974); for whom, see A. M. Butts, "Yaḥyā b. 'Adī," *GEDSH*, 429–430 and Graf, *Geschichte*, 2:233–249.
- 68 This is Ibn Kabar (d. 1324); for whom, see Graf, Geschichte, 2:438–445.

the book of '∃bnä 'Ähäl⁶⁹ and '∃bnä Zär'ä⁷⁰ and the book of Ḥāwi, ⁷¹ all of these that the church has accepted. O my master, what is 'Äbufäräj, the denier of the godhead and slanderer of incarnation? Leave Nestorius to go to his own land!" (ms. EMML 7122, f. 51r, col. 1, ln. 4–f. 51v, col. 1, ln. 5)

This note relates a dispute concerning the exegetical works (tərg^wame) of one 'Äbufäräj 'əbnä Ṭäyyəb, who is of course Abū al-Faraj ('Abd Allāh) Ibn al-Ṭayyib. The author of the note alleges that the interpretation of Ibn al-Ṭayyib the 'Nestorian' (nəsṭərosawi) is aligned with that of the Jews and that it should thus be destroyed. In place of Ibn al-Ṭayyib, the author recommends reading other exegetical works, including notably enough those of John Chrysostom. This note, thus, provides a possible background to the transmission of Ibn al-Ṭayyib's exegetical works under the name of John Chrysostom in the Ethiopic tradition.

Məhərka Dəngəl and the Andəmta Commentary Tradition: Ethiopian Biblical Exegesis Based on Ibn al-Ṭayyib

The commentaries of Ibn al-Ṭayyib in their Ethiopic translations were influential sources for Ethiopian biblical exegesis. They, for instance, served as one of the primary sources for the Ethiopic Commentary on the Pentateuch by Məhərka Dəngəl, which is found in ms. EMML 2101 (ff. 63r–148v). This can be illustrated by looking at Məhərka Dəngəl's discussion of the garment that Jacob made for Joseph:

መዓዲ፡ጸልእም፡በእንተ፡ዘአግበረ፡ለ ተ፡<u>ቀሚስ⁷³፡</u>ዘጎብረ፡አስቅ፡<u>ዘቦቱ፡አክጣመ፡ዘአት</u>
<u>ም፡</u>ተለንታሁ፡ዘአልቦ፡ርፍአተ፡<u>ወዝንቱኒ፡ልብሰ፡ክብር፡ወእቱ፡</u>ወውእቱኒ፡ገብረ፡ለ
ተ፡፡እንበይን፡አፍቅሮቱ፡ኪያሁ#ወአታዊሁሰ፡<u>ኢይለብሰ፡ዘእንበለ፡ግማዳት፡</u>ዘይከድ
ን፡ታፍረቶሙ፡ወእንበይንዝ፡ቀንኤ⁷⁴፡ቦቱ፡ዓዲ#<u>ወቦ፡እለ፡ይቤሉ፡ይእቲ፡ልብስ፡ዓጽ፡</u>
ዘግብርት፡<u>በቀይሕ፡ወበካልአን፡</u>ጎብራት፡ዘቦ፡ላዕሌሃ፡ግብረ፡ወርቅ፡ዘተገበሩ፡ባቲ፡ገባ
ርያን፡ወርቅ#ወግብረ፡ወርቅኒ፡ይትአመር፡እምን፡አውቃፍ፡ወእንግ፡ዘተውሀባ፡ላቲ፡ለ
ርብቃ፡እምን፡አብርሃም፡በእደ፡ኢያውብር፡ገብሩ#ወቦ፡እለ፡ይቤሉ፡ይእቲ፡ልብስ፡ኮን
ት፡አምሳለ፡አልበራዲን#ወለአልበራዲንኒ፡ይስምይም፡ሰብአ፡ታብዮ፡ሐምያ#

⁶⁹ As already suggested by Cowley ("Ge'ez Document," 9 n. 34), this is probably Ibn al-'Assal (d. 1260); for whom, see Graf, *Geschichte*, 2:387–403.

⁷⁰ This is Abū 'Alī 'Īsā b. Isḥāq b. Zur'a (d. 1008); for whom, see Graf, Geschichte, 2:252–256.

⁷¹ This is a reference to the *Pandektēs* of Nikon; for which, see Graf, *Geschichte*, 2:64–66.

⁷² So already Alehegne, Ethiopian Commentary, 6; Cowley, Traditional Interpretation, 38; idem, "Ge'ez Document," 5 with n. 5; idem, Ethiopian Biblical Interpretation, 114–115. For this ms., see Getatchew Haile and William F. Macomber, A Catalogue of Ethiopian Manuscripts Microfilmed for the Ethiopian Manuscript Microfilm Library, Addis Ababa and for the Hill Monastic Manuscript Library, Collegeville, Vol. 6. Project Numbers 2001–2500 (Collegeville: Monastic Manuscript Microfilm Library, 1982), 195–196. This commentary also remains unedited.

⁷³ Perhaps read ቀሚሰ፡ (accusative).

⁷⁴ Ms. 437:

150 Butts

"They (viz. Joseph's brothers) hated him (viz. Joseph) on account of the <u>tunic</u> of diverse colors, which he (viz. Jacob) had made for him, <u>that had</u> entirely <u>woven sleeves</u> without a seam. <u>This was a garment of nobility</u>, and he made (it) for him out of his love for him. His brothers <u>were only wearing pieces</u> that covered their shame. On account of this, they abhorred him still. <u>Others say that the garment was a cloak</u> made <u>with red and other</u> colors that had on it workmanship of gold, with which the goldsmiths had worked. The workmanship of gold is shown by the necklaces and earrings that were given to Rebecca by Abraham through his servant 'Iyawbər.⁷⁵ Others say that the garment was like 'älbäradin.⁷⁶ The Nabateans call 'älbäradin by the name <u>hämya</u>." (ms. EMML 2101, f. 93v, col. 3, ln. 30–f. 94r, col. 1, ln. 18)

This passage is rich with exegetical traditions. Of particular interest to this study is that each of the underlined words derives from the Ethiopic commentary in ms. Bibl. Nat. Éth. d'Abbadie 28, which is a translation of Ibn al-Ṭayyib's *The Paradise of Christianity*. Many of these are in fact verbatim quotations. Thus, this passage illustrates the dependence of Məhərka Dəngəl's Ethiopic commentary on the commentary of Ibn al-Ṭayyib and so ultimately on Isho'dad of Merv. One additional exegetical tradition should be noted here: the association of Joseph's garment with 'workmanship of gold' (??12:00C+:). This exegetical tradition will be important for the discussion of the Ethiopic *History of Joseph* in the last section of this paper.

The commentaries of Ibn al-Ṭayyib, in their Ethiopic translation, were also an important source for the Andəmta commentary tradition, as has been shown by Cowley. The Andəmta commentary tradition is not written in Ethiopic (Gəʻəz), but in the modern language of Amharic, and it represents in many ways the culmination of Ethiopian biblical exegesis. The complexity of the relationship between the Andəmta commentary tradition and Syriac exegetical sources can be illustrated by the following passage on Joseph's garment:

ይህም ይታወቅ ዘንድ ፬ት ኅብር ፭ኛ ወርቀዘቦ ያለው ልብስ አሥርቶለት ነበረ ።

"Regarding this, it is to be known that he had made for him a garment that had four colors (and) fifth(ly) with a gold stripe."

Thus, the Andomta commentary mentions four colors as well as gold. As noted above, Isho'dad of Merv relays that the garment had four colors in the Greek tradition:⁷⁹

מנא: במלעה מבלבלה, מנו. מי, ויאים כמי איף מלא מניא מממבא ממניא ממיםא ממניאא

"The Greek (reads): a mix-(colored) tunic, that is, one that has a piece of red and a piece of black, green, and blue."

⁷⁵ I. e., Eliezer (see Genesis 15:2).

⁷⁶ A pseudo-plural of Arabic burd 'garment'.

⁷⁷ See Cowley, Traditional Interpretation; idem, Ethiopian Biblical Interpretation.

⁷⁸ Cited according to Alehegne, Ethiopian Commentary, 294.

⁷⁹ Cited according to Vosté and Van den Eynde, *Išo'dad de Merv*, 200.7–9.

In *The Paradise of Christianity*, however, Ibn al-Ṭayyib is not so specific, stating only:⁸⁰

"Others say that it was a cloak, and it had stripes of red, yellow, and other (colors)."

The Ethiopic translation of Ibn al-Tayyib is even less specific:

"Oth(ers say that it was a) cloak, and it had stripes (*lit.* sewings) of red and other (colors)." (ms. Bibl. Nat. Éth. d'Abbadie 28, f. 34r, ln. 19)

Similarly, the commentary by Məhərka Dəngəl, which is dependent on the Ethiopic translation of Ibn al-Ṭayyib, states:

ወቦ፡እስ፡ይቤሉ፡ይእቲ፡ልብስ፡ዓጽፍ፡ዘግብርት፡በቀይሕ፡ወበካልአን፡ኅብራት፡ዘቦ፡ላ ዕሌሃ፡ግብረ፡ወርቅ፡ዘተገበሩ፡ባቲ፡ገባርያን፡ወርቅ#

"Others say that the garment was a cloak made with red and other colors that had on it workmanship of gold, with which the goldsmiths had worked." (ms. EMML 2101, f. 94r, col. 1, lns. 5–10)

The Andəmta commentary, thus, adopts the interpretation of multiple colors, but interestingly it is closer to the Syriac commentary of Ishoʻdad of Merv than it is to its Arabic adaptation in Ibn al-Ṭayyib or the Ethiopic translation thereof. How did the Andəmta commentary receive this tradition about four colors that is found in Ishoʻdad of Merv (and ultimately from the *Hexapla*) if not via the Ethiopic translation of Ibn al-Ṭayyib? Did it have access to Ishoʻdad of Merv through a different source? Or perhaps even to the *Hexapla* itself? A full study of the sources of the Andəmta commentary is necessary before such questions can be answered. Finally, it should be pointed out that the Andəmta commentary contains a tradition associating Joseph's garment with gold. This tradition occurs in the commentary by Məhərka Dəngəl, as noted above, and it is also to be found in the Ethiopic *History of Joseph*, to which the paper now turns.

The Ethiopic History of Joseph: Another Bridge

While Ibn al-Ṭayyib's *The Paradise of Christianity* provided one of the primary bridges by which Syriac biblical exegesis was transmitted into Ethiopic, it was not the only such bridge. A number of other Syriac exegetical works made their way into Ethiopic via Arabic. This includes, for instance, exegetical *mēmrē* by Jacob of Serug. Ethiopic manuscripts contain a number of homilies (Ethiopic *dersan*

⁸⁰ Cited according to Sanders, Commentaire sur la Genèse, 90.2.

⁸¹ Probably read Cをわかれ; i. e., in construct.

roughly equivalent to Syriac *mēmrā*) attributed to Jacob of Serug. ⁸² Many of these are translations from Arabic, and some in fact ultimately go back to Syriac originals. ⁸³ In addition, anonymous exegetical homilies written in Syriac were translated into Arabic, and some of these then made their way into Ethiopic. This is, for instance, the case with the Syriac verse homily on Abraham and Sarah in Egypt, which entered Ethiopic via Arabic. ⁸⁴ Another such anonymous Syriac exegetical work that made its way into Ethiopic via Arabic is the *History of Joseph*.

The Ethiopic *History of Joseph* is found in ms. EMML 1939, which is a four-teenth- or fifteenth-century manuscript from the Monastery of Ḥayq Estifanos (Ambassal, Wallo). ⁸⁵ This manuscript contains a wide assortment of texts:

ff. 2r-23r: Martyrdom (ስምዕ፡) of Claudius (ንሳው ድዮስ፡)

ff. 24r–48v: History and Vita (ዜና:ወገድል፡) of Äbba Lätesun (አባ፡ለት % ን፡)

ff. 49r–59v: Miracles of Theodoros (ታውድሮስ:,ቴዎድሮስ:, etc.) of Äwkidäs (አውኪደስ:)

ff. 60r-67r: Homily (ድርሳን፡) of Ephrem (ኤፍሬም፡) on the Transfiguration

ff. 67v–83r: Homily (ድርሳን፡) of Cyriacus of Behnesa (ህር ያቆስ፡ኤጲስ፡ቆጳስ፡ ዘሀገረ፡፡በሀንሳ፡) on the Assumption

ff. 84r–86r: Commandments (ትሕዛዝ፡) of Anthony (ሕንጦንስ፡)

ff. 86v-101v: Debate of Äbba Pawli with Satan (ቃል:ዘደረሰ:ቅዱስ:አባ: ጳውሊ:በአንተ:ተዋሥሉተ፡፡ምስለ:ሰይጣን፡)

ff. 102r–113v: The Fifth Homily of John Climacus about people who are doing penance (ድርሳን: ነምስ:ዘአቡን:ቅዱስ:ዮሐንስ:በዐለ:መዓርግ:በእንተ፡ሰብእ: አለ፡ውስተ፡ንስሕ⁸⁶:)

ff. 114r–123v: Homily of Jacob of Serug on the Death of Aaron ... (ድርሳን:ዘደረሰ:፡ብፁዕ፡ወቅዱስ፡አባ፡ያዕቆብ፡ዘስሩ-ግ፡በአንተ፡ዕረፍተ፡፡ለአሮን፡ካህን፡ ...)⁸⁷

ff. 124r-162r: History of Joseph (ዜናሁ። ለዮሴፍ፡ወልደ፡ ያዕቆብ፡...)

ff. 162r-168r: An untitled text dealing with the death of Joseph. 88

- 82 Inventories are provided in S. Uhlig, "Dərsan des Ya'qob von Sərug für den vierten Sonntag im Monat Taḥśaś," Aethiopica 2 (1999), 7–52 at 13–16 and W. Witakowski, "Jacob of Serug," EAE, 262–263.
- 83 Most of these Ethiopic texts along with their Arabic *Vorlagen* remain unedited. The edition of these texts is one of the many *desiderata* in the study of the transmission of Syriac (exegetical) literature into Ethiopic via Arabic. See n. 22 above.
- The Syriac and Arabic are edited with an English translation in S. P. Brock and S. Hopkins, "A verse homily on Abraham and Sarah in Egypt: Syriac original with early Arabic translation," Le Muséon 105 (1992), 87–146. The Ethiopic version is edited with a French translation in A. Caquot, "Une homélie éthiopienne attribuée à Saint Mar Éphrem sur le séjour d'Abraham et Sara in Égypte," in Mélanges Antoine Guillaumont. Contributions à l'étude des christianismes orientaux (Cahiers d'orientalism 20; Geneva: P. Cramer, 1988), 173–85.
- 85 See Haile, Project Numbers 1501-2000, 429-433.
- 86 Ms. 30th:
- 87 For this text, see n. 22 above.

It should be noted that most, if not all, of these texts are translations from Arabic, and that two of them are attributed to well-known Syriac authors: Ephrem and Jacob of Serug. It is the second to last text in this manuscript, the *History of Joseph*, that is of concern in the remainder of this paper.

The Ethiopic History of Joseph is a dramatic prose retelling of the Joseph narrative, beginning with his dreams and ending with the arrival of Jacob into Egypt. In 1990, Isaac published a translation of the text with a provisional study, though unfortunately without an edition of the Ethiopic, in the Journal for the Study of Pseudepigrapha. 89 The journal that Isaac chose for his study gives insight into how he contextualized the text. According to Isaac, the Ethiopic History of Joseph derives ultimately from "a Jewish work of the late Second temple period." Thus, Isaac represented the Ethiopic History of Joseph as if a text like Jubilees or Enoch, which have their origins in Second Temple Judaism. Though he discussed possible connections to Syriac and Arabic at various points in his study, 91 Isaac did not identify a potential Vorlage for the Ethiopic History of Joseph. In his Clavis Apocryphorum Veteris Testamenti (CAVT), Haelewyck established that the Ethiopic *History of Joseph* was a translation from Arabic. 92 This was followed by Denis, who noted that the Ethiopic text probably went back to a Syriac work perhaps via Arabic. 93 It was, however, only with a recent study by Heal that the Ethiopic History of Joseph was connected, via an Arabic intermediary, with the

- 88 Haelewyck gives the title as *Mors Joseph* (J.-C. Haelewyck, *Clavis Apocryphorum Veteris Testamenti* [Turnhout: Brepols, 1998], 81 [CAVT 117]). An Arabic *Vorlage* to this text can be found in ms. Cairo, Coptic Patriarchate 272, which Graf already described as "der Tod Josephs, des Sohnes des Jakob Israel" (*Geschichte*, 2:205). The present author is currently preparing an edition and translation of the Ethiopic version of this text, along with its Arabic *Vorlage*. It remains unclear on what basis Haelewyck (*Clavis Apocryphorum Veteris Testamenti*, 81) differentiates this text (CAVT 117) from his *Dormitio Joseph filii Jacob* in Arabic (CAVT 116). Based on the French summary of the latter by Frédéric Manns ("Note sur la 'Dormition de Joseph'," *Henoch* 4 [1982], 38–40), they may well be the same text. Unfortunately, however, the Arabic ms. containing CAVT 116 seems to have been destroyed in a fire (personal communication from Hany Takla), and thus it may never be possible to determine whether or not CAVT 116 and CAVT 117 represent the same text.
- 89 E. Isaac, "The Ethiopic *History of Joseph*," *JSP* 6 (1990), 3–125. It should be noted that Isaac's translation is rather infelicitous (for several examples, see n. 98 and 102 below), and thus it should be cited only with caution.
- 90 Isaac, "The Ethiopic History of Joseph," 44.
- 91 See especially Isaac, "The Ethiopic History of Joseph," 39-44.
- 92 Haelewyck, *Clavis Apocryphorum Veteris Testamenti*, 80 (CAVT 113). He was preceded in this by Pierluigi Piovanelli ("Les aventures des apocryphes en Éthiopie," *Apocrypha* 4 [1993], 197–224).
- 93 Albert-Marie Denis, *Introduction à la littérature religieuse judéo-hellénistique*, Vol. 1. *Pseudépigraphes de l'Ancien Testament* (Turnhout: Brepols, 2000), 346–347. Haelewyck does not mention a Syriac connection (*Clavis Apocryphorum Veteris Testamenti*, 80 [CAVT 113])

Syriac *History of Joseph* that was edited more than a century ago by Weinberg and Link.⁹⁴

To illustrate the relationship between the Syriac, Arabic, and Ethiopic versions of the *History of Joseph*, it is useful to look at a brief passage in these three texts:

Syriac:95

"Jacob their father loved Joseph more than all of his sons. He made for him a tunic of sleeves and dressed him (in it). When his brothers saw that their father loved Joseph more than them, they were filled with great jealousy against Joseph, and they hated him harshly."

Arabic:96

وكان يعقوب يحب يوسف حبا شديدا افضل من اخوته ومن زيادة محبته له صنع له توبا مصور الكمين فلما راوا⁹⁷ اخوته ان اباهم يعقوب قد احب يوسف اكتر منهم دخلهم الحسد وبغضوا يوسف بغضا شديدا

"Jacob loved Joseph with a great love, greater than his brothers. Out of abundance of his love toward him, he made him a garment with painted sleeves. When his brothers saw that their father

Kristian Heal, "Identifying the Syriac Vorlage of the Ethiopic History of Joseph," in Malphono w-Rabo d-Malphone: Studies in Honor of Sebastian P. Brock, ed. G. Kiraz (Piscataway: Gorgias Press, 2008), 205–210. See also Kristian Heal, apud Robert R. Phenix, The sermons on Joseph of Balai of Qenneshrin: Rhetoric and interpretation in fifth-century Syriac literature (Tübingen: Mohr Siebeck, 2008), 145 n. 20. The Syriac is edited in M. Weinberg, Die Geschichte Josefs angeblich verfasst von Basilius dem Grossen aus Cäsarea (Halle: Universität Halle-Wittenberg, 1893); S. W. Link, Die Geschichte Josefs angeblich verfasst von Basilius dem Grossen aus Cäsarea (Berlin: H. Itzkowski, 1895); an English translation is available in Kristian Heal, "The Syriac History of Joseph: A New Translation and Introduction," in Old Testament Pseudepigrapha: More Noncanonical Scriptures, ed. Richard Bauckham and James R. Davila (Grand Rapids: William B. Eerdman, 2013), 1:85–120. Given these identifications, a joint project is now underway to produce comparative editions and translations of the Syriac, Arabic, and Ethiopic versions of the History of Joseph. The Syriac will be edited and translated by Kristian Heal, the Arabic by Joseph Witztum, and the Ethiopic by the present author. The results will be published with Brepols in their series Corpus Christianorum Series Apocryphorum (CCSA).

95 Cited according to Weinberg, Geschichte Josefs, 2.8–12.

96 The Arabic version exists in a number of manuscripts; for which, see Graf, *Geschichte*, 1:205–206; 2:486; Haelewyck, *Clavis Apocryphorum Veteris Testamenti*, 80 (CAVT 113). Given the lack of a critical edition of the Arabic text (see, however, n. 94 above), the present paper has relied on ms. Cairo, Coptic Patriarchate 721, ff. 46r–116v (1642). Collations have also been made with two other manuscripts from this collection, ms. Cairo, Coptic Patriarchate 89, ff. 171r–272r (1672/1673) and ms. Cairo, Coptic Patriarchate 722, ff. 171r–200v (17th/18th cent.), as well as with the Garshūnī versions found in ms. Cambridge Add. 2886, ff. 29v–72r and ms. Mingana Syr. 177, ff. 1–63. Unless otherwise noted, the text is reproduced exactly as in ms. Cairo, Coptic Patriarchate 721 (though without vowels), leaving Middle Arabic features intact.

Jacob loved Joseph more than them, jealousy entered them, and they hated him with a great hatred." (ms. Cairo, Coptic Patriarchate 721, f. 46v, lns. 6–10)

Ethiopic:

ወኮን፡,ያዕቆብ፡አቡሆሙ፡፡ዘ,ያፌቅሮ፡ለዮሴፍ፡አፍቅሮ፡ፌድፋደ፡ዘየዐቢ፡እምን፡አኃዊ ሁ#ወውችቱ፡ገብረ፡ለግቱ፡ልብስ፡መዝራዕቱ፡ዘወርቅ#ወሶበ፡ርእዩ፡አኃዊሁ፡ከሙ፡አ ቡሆሙ፡፡,ያዕቆብ፡እንዘ፡,ያፌቅሮ፡ለዮሴፍ#ወአዕበየ፡፡እምን፡ኵለግሙ፡፡ትንአ ት፡ወጸልእም፡ለዮሴፍ፡

"Jacob their father loved Joseph greatly with a love greater than his brothers. He made for him a garment whose sleeves were of gold. When his brothers saw that their father Jacob while loving 98 Joseph had exalted him over all of them, jealousy seized them, and they hated Joseph." (ms. EMML 1939, f. 124r, col. 2, lns. 15–23)

Given the questions over the relationships of these texts, it is first necessary to show that the Ethiopic is indeed a translation of the Arabic. This can be confirmed by a number of agreements in the Arabic and Ethiopic versions against the Syriac. The Syriac version, for instance, reads that Jacob loved Joseph more than 'all of his sons' (ملمو حنةمر), whereas the Arabic and Ethiopic versions both have 'his brothers' (خوته), خوته), Similarly, the Syriac text states that Joseph not only made a garment for Joseph, but also 'dressed him (in it)' (mx-1<a); this was not translated into Arabic and thus does not appear in Ethiopic. Toward the end of the passage, the Syriac reads that 'their father' (loved Joseph, whereas the Arabic and Ethiopic both have 'their father Jacob' (إباهم يعقوب, አበ-ሆመ። ያዕቆብ:). Finally, at the end of the passage, the Syriac states that Joseph's brothers 'were filled with great jealousy' (אנה אפרים משפא משפא). In contrast, in both the Arabic and Ethiopic, the argument structure is reversed: a noun 'jealousy' is the subject of a verb and 'them' is the direct object (دخلهم الحسد), አንዞሙ። ቅንአት:). These examples definitively show that the Ethiopic is a translation of the Arabic.99

This should, thus, put to rest any confusion over the *Vorlage* for the Ethiopic *History of Joseph*. Unfortunately, Isaac's ambivalence regarding potential *Vorlagen* for the Ethiopic *History of Joseph* along with his claim that it represents "a Jewish work of the late Second temple period" has misled later researchers. In a recent book, for instance, Jovanović treats the Ethiopic *History of Joseph* as a

- 98 Isaac translates አብ·ሆም፡፡. የዕቆብ፡አንዝ፡ የፊትሮ፡ as 'their father Jacob loved' ("The Ethiopic History of Joseph," 45). This translation, however, ignores እንዝ፡ 'while, when'. In addition, it is a questionable rendering of the imperfective ይይቅር፡; one would expect አፍትሮ፡ for 'he loved' reflecting Arabic قد احب نقد احب نقد احب نقد احب
- 99 There is one variant in the Ethiopic that seems to agree with the Syriac against the Arabic. At the very beginning of the passage, the Syriac reads 'Jacob their father' (במסר) loved Joseph; the Arabic here has only Jacob (נֵשבּעָר); and the Ethiopic has 'Jacob their father' (ጵዕቃብ:አብ፡ህወው፡) seeming to agree with the Syriac against the Arabic. The Garshūnī mss., however, have 'our father, Jacob' (במסר) providing a plausible Vorlage for the Ethiopic translation of 'their father'.

156 Butts

"representative of Hellenistic midrashic tradition." Even if it may contain elements going back to a Hellenistic midrashic tradition, which, it should be noted, has not yet been definitively established, the Ethiopic *History of Joseph* cannot simply be read as a straightforward representative of such a tradition. Rather, the Ethiopic *History of Joseph* is a translation of the Arabic *History of Joseph*, which itself is a translation of the Syriac *History of Joseph*. Thus, all studies of the *History of Joseph* should begin with the Syriac version of the text. ¹⁰¹

While the Ethiopic version is clearly a translation of the Arabic, it does at times depart from its Arabic Vorlage. Toward the middle of this passage, for instance, both the Syriac and the Arabic versions read, 'their father loved Joseph more than them'. In the Syriac and in the Arabic, 'more than them' is expressed by an adjective followed by a prepositional phrase (مَكُمُونَ مَرَاكُونَ مِنْهُمَّ مِنْهُمَّ مُرَاكُونَ اللهُ الل

From the exegetical viewpoint, a more interesting example of the Ethiopic departing from its Arabic *Vorlage* can be found with the garment that Jacob made for Joseph. In the Syriac, this is said to be 'a tunic of sleeves' (حمد المعاقبة المعاقبة). This is the same phrase that is found in the Syriac Old Testament at Gen. 37:3, which reads 'and he made for him a tunic of sleeves' (معتد المعاقبة). The Arabic *History of Joseph*, in contrast, reads 'a garment painted on its sleeves' (توبا مصور الكمين). ¹⁰³ Thus, the Arabic departs from its Syriac *Vorlage*.

- 100 L. Jovanović, Joseph of genesis as hellenistic scientist (Sheffield: Sheffield Phoenix Press, 2013), 120.
- 101 Jovanović dismisses the Syriac version, stating "Christian texts, preserved within the Syriac Church, seem to reflect the same midrashic line regarding Joseph's cup as the Ethiopic story but with less elaboration. Although they may be important for establishing the history of the transmission of this tradition, they are less likely to offer the insights into alternative midrashim" (*Joseph of genesis as hellenistic scientist*, 121–122). Such a statement considerably understates the relationship between the Syriac, Arabic, and Ethiopic versions of the *History of Joseph*.
- 102 The translation of Isaac reads, 'Jacob loved Joseph much more than all of them' ("The Ethiopic *History of Joseph*," 45). This is, however, a very free translation at best.

Similarly, the Ethiopic *History of Joseph* does not simply translate the Arabic, but rather it adds its own exegetical tradition, reading 'a garment whose sleeves were of gold' (ልብሰ፡መንዝራዕቴ፡:ዘመርቅ፡). Ethiopic has 'sleeves' like the Syriac and the Arabic (at least in one of the manuscripts), but it also introduces the fact that these sleeves were 'of gold' (ዘመርቅ፡). This does not agree with the Ethiopic Old Testament, which reads, 'he made for him a garment of diverse colors' (ንብሬ፡ሴ ቴ፡፡ክዴኒ፡፡ዘንብሬ፡ው ውቅ፡፡). 104 As noted above, however, a similar exegetical tradition is found in the Ethiopic Commentary by Məhərka Dəngəl:

ወቦ፡እለ፡ይቤሉ፡ይእቲ፡ልብስ፡ዓጽፍ፡ዘግብርት፡በቀይሕ፡ወበካልአን፡ኀብራት፡ዘቦ፡ ሳዕሌሃ፡ግብረ፡ወርቅ፡ዘተገበሩ፡ባቲ፡ግባርያን፡ወርቅ#ወግብረ፡ወርቅኒ፡ይትአመር፡ እምን፡አው፡ቃፍ፡ወእንግ፡ዘተው፡ህባ፡ሳቲ፡ለርብቃ፡እምን፡አብርሃም፡በእደ፡ኢያውብር፡ ንብሩ#

"Others say that the garment was a cloak made with red and other colors that had on it workmanship of gold, with which the goldsmiths had worked. The workmanship of gold is shown by the necklaces and earrings that were given to Rebecca by Abraham through his servant 'Iyawbər." (ms. EMML 2101, f. 94r, col. 1, ln. 5–10)

The commentary of Məhərka Dəngəl, thus, provides an exegetical parallel to the Ethiopic *History of Joseph* in associating Joseph's garment with gold – interestingly, a tradition that is not found in the Arabic *Vorlage* to the Ethiopic *History of Joseph*. At the current stage of research, it is impossible to determine if one of these Ethiopic texts is dependent on the other or if they are each dependent on a hitherto unknown third source. It is, however, clear that these two texts share an exegetical tradition.

In connection with this exegetical tradition, it should be noted that clothes of gold seem to be a sign of prestige and even royalty in Ethiopic literature. This motif, for instance, appears several times in the *Kəbrä Nägäśt*. The servants of Abraham are, for instance, described in this text as follows: 106

....እለ፡.ይለብሱ፡ዲባ./ታ፡.ዘወርቅ፡ወየ0ንቁ፡ብዝ./ንናተ፡ዘወርቅ፡ወይቀንተ፡፡ቅና.ታተ፡ ዘወርቅ፡ይትቄጸሉ፡.አክሊሳተ፡ዘወርቅ፡...

"...(those) who were wearing fabrics of gold, were wearing necklaces of gold, were girded in belts of gold, and were crowned with crowns of gold..."

Similar phraseology is used to describe Solomon's son later in the Kəbrä Nägäśt. 107

- 104 Cited according to B. A. Edele, "A Critical Edition of Genesis in Ethiopic" (Ph. D. Diss., Duke University, 1995).
- 105 The text is edited in C. Bezold, Kebra Nagast. Die Herrlichkeit der Könige (Munich: G. Franz, 1909). The most thorough study continues to be David Allan Hubbard, "The Literary Sources of the Kebra Nagast" (Ph. D. Diss., University of St. Andrews, 1954).
- 106 Cited according to Bezold, Kebra Nagast, 8.a.23-26.
- 107 Cited according to Bezold, Kebra Nagast, 33.a.7-12.

158 Butts

...ወአልበሶ፡ዲባ.ንተ፡ዘወርቅ፡ወቅናተ፡ዘወርቅ፡ወአክሊስ፡ዲበ፡ርእሱ፡ወሕልቀተ፡ ውስተ፡አጽባዕቱ፡ወአልበሶ፡አልባሰ፡ክብር፡ዘየሀይድ፡አዕይንተ፡ወአንበሮ፡ዲበ፡ መንበሩ፡...

"... he dressed him in fabrics of gold, a belt of gold, a crown on his head, and a ring on his finger, and he dressed him in clothes of honor, which captivated eyes, and he sat him on his throne ..."

In this case, there are clear royal connotations. Thus, there is evidence that clothes of gold were a sign of prestige in the Ethiopian context, which is of course not unexpected. Does the association of Joseph's garment with gold, then, represent a native Ethiopian exegetical tradition in both the Ethiopic *History of Joseph* and the commentary by Məhərka Dəngəl? Or is its source to be found in another exegetical tradition?

As mentioned above, the Andemta Commentary on Genesis also contains the exegetical tradition that associates Joseph's garment with gold: 108

ይህም ይታወቅ ዘንድ ፬ት ኅብር ፭ኛ ወርቀዘቦ ያለው ልብስ አሥርቶለት ነበረ

"Regarding this, it is to be known that he had made for him a garment that had four colors (and) fifth(ly) with a gold stripe."

The Andamta commentary could have received this tradition from the Ethiopic *History of Joseph*, the commentary by Maharka Dangal, or their common source (if such exists). Regardless, the Andamta commentary clearly contains a tradition that is found in Ishoʻdad of Merv (ultimately from the *Hexapla*), that is, the four colors, ¹⁰⁹ as well as a tradition that is otherwise found only in the Ethiopic *History of Joseph* and the commentary by Maharka Dangal, that is, the association with gold.

Returning to the Ethiopic *History of Joseph*, the tradition that associates Joseph's garment with gold represents a small addition of exegetical material in the movement of this text from Arabic to Ethiopic. This illustrates that the Ethiopic *History of Joseph* is not just a translation of the Arabic *History of Joseph*, but rather it is a translation of the Arabic text that at times contains additional exegetical traditions. This argument can be bolstered by many other similar cases that occur throughout the text. Thus, while the Arabic *History of Joseph* serves as the primary source for the Ethiopic *History of Joseph*, it is not its only source. Rather, the Ethiopic *History of Joseph* creatively combines its Arabic *Vorlage* with other exegetical traditions.

¹⁰⁸ Cited according to Alehegne, Ethiopian Commentary, 294.

¹⁰⁹ This was discussed above.

¹¹⁰ It should be pointed out that the differences between the Syriac and Arabic versions of this text are far greater than those between the Arabic and Ethiopic versions. One such development in the Arabic can be seen in n. 103 above.

Conclusion

The transmission of Syriac biblical exegesis into Ethiopic via Arabic represents a multi-layered process. During the Solomonic Period (1270-1770), a number of Arabic texts were translated into Ethiopic, including exegetical works. This paper has looked at several examples. Ms. Bibl. Nat. Eth. d'Abbadie 28 contains an Ethiopic translation of the running commentary from Ibn al-Tayyib's The Paradise of Christianity, and ms. EMML 1839 contains an Ethiopic translation of the question-and-answer part of this same work. Both of these Ethiopic commentaries are literal, source-oriented translations of Arabic texts. The Arabic sources for these Ethiopic commentaries are, in turn, based on Syriac exegetical works, especially the Scholion by Theodoros bar Koni and the commentary of Isho'dad of Mery. The Ethiopic translations of Ibn al-Tayyib's The Paradise of Christianity were important sources for the Ethiopic Commentary by Məhərka Dəngəl, which is preserved in ms. EMML 2101. Departing from the method of the earlier translators, Məhərka Dəngəl supplemented the Ethiopic translations of Ibn al-Tayyib with exegetical material from other sources, including perhaps native Ethiopian traditions.

The Ethiopic reception of Syriac biblical exegesis was not limited to biblical commentaries in the strict sense. Rather, a number of other Syriac works containing exegetical content, such as homilies and dramatic retellings of the Bible, also made their way into Ethiopic via Arabic. The Ethiopic *History of Joseph*, for instance, is an Ethiopic translation of an Arabic text, itself translated from Syriac. Though clearly a translation from Arabic, this text has in places incorporated other exegetical traditions, but not to the same extent as Məhərka Dəngəl's commentary.

The Andəmta commentary tradition represents the final layer, to date, in the Ethiopian reception of Syriac biblical exegesis. In its description of the garment that Jacob made for Joseph, the Andəmta commentary contains an exegetical tradition that is transmitted by Ishoʻdad of Merv from the *Hexapla*: the specification of four colors. In addition, it contains a tradition that associates Joseph's garment with gold, which is also found in the Ethiopic *History of Joseph* and the commentary of Məhərka Dəngəl, but interestingly not in the Arabic *Vorlage* to the Ethiopic *History of Joseph* and not in the Ethiopic translation of Ibn al-Ṭayyib. Thus, this tradition that associates Joseph's garment with gold illustrates the creativity involved in the Ethiopic reception of Syriac biblical exegesis.